

WOAH Reference Laboratory Reports Activities 2025

This report has been submitted: 27 janvier 2026 09:37

LABORATORY INFORMATION

*Name of disease (or topic) for which you are a designated WOAH Reference Laboratory:	Infection with Megalocytivirus pagrus1
*Address of laboratory:	422-1 Nakatsuhamaura Minami-ise, Mie 516-0193
*Tel:	+81-599 66.18.30
*E-mail address:	kawato_yasuhiko86@fra.go.jp
Website:	https://nria.fra.affrc.go.jp/e/DTC.html
*Name (including Title) of Head of Laboratory (Responsible Official):	Takafumi Ito (PhD), Director of Pathology division
*Name (including Title and Position) of WOAH Reference Expert:	Yasuhiko Kawato (PhD), senior researcher
*Which of the following defines your laboratory? Check all that apply:	Governmental Research agency

TOR1: DIAGNOSTIC METHODS

1. Did your laboratory perform diagnostic tests for the specified disease/topic for purposes such as disease diagnosis, screening of animals for export, surveillance, etc.? (Not for quality control, proficiency testing or staff training)

Yes

Diagnostic Test	Indicated in WOAH Manual (Yes/No)	Total number of test performed last year	
		Nationally	Internationally
Indirect diagnostic tests			
Direct diagnostic tests			
PCR and sequencing	Yes	16	0
Real-time PCR	Yes	19	0
Virus isolation	Yes	8	0

TOR2: REFERENCE MATERIAL

2. Did your laboratory produce or supply imported standard reference reagents officially recognised by WOAH?

No

3. Did your laboratory supply standard reference reagents (nonWOAH-approved) and/or other diagnostic reagents to WOAH Members?

Yes

Type of reagent available	Related diagnostic test	Produced/ provide	Amount supplied nationally (ml, mg)	Amount supplied internationally (ml, mg)	No. of recipient WOAH Member Countries	Country of recipients
SKF-9 cell line	Virus isolation	Produced	0	1	1	KOREA (REP. OF),
Positive control DNA for PCR	PCR	Produced	0.3ML	0	1	JAPAN,
Monoclonal antibody	IFAT	Produced	16ML	0	1	JAPAN,

4. Did your laboratory produce vaccines?

No

5. Did your laboratory supply vaccines to WOAHA Members?

TOR3: NEW PROCEDURES

6. Did your laboratory develop new diagnostic methods for the designated pathogen or disease?

No

7. Did your laboratory validate diagnostic methods according to WOAHA Standards for the designated pathogen or disease?

No

8. Did your laboratory develop new vaccines for the designated pathogen or disease?

9. Did your laboratory validate vaccines according to WOAHA Standards for the designated pathogen or disease?

TOR4: DIAGNOSTIC TESTING FACILITIES

10. Did your laboratory carry out diagnostic testing for other WOAHA Members?

No

11. Did your laboratory provide expert advice in technical consultancies on the request of an WOAHA Member?

Yes

Name of the WOAHA Member Country receiving a technical consultancy	Purpose	How the advice was provided
AUSTRALIA	Provide the disease information	remote (e-mail and web meeting)
KOREA (REP. OF)	Virus isolation	On site

TOR5: COLLABORATIVE SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL STUDIES

12. Did your laboratory participate in international scientific studies in collaboration with WOAHA Members other than the own?

Yes

Title of the study	Duration	Purpose of the study	Partners (Institutions)	WOAHA Member Countries involved other than your country
Isolation of TRBIV genogroup of Megalocytivirus pagrus1	2025-2026	Isolation of TRBIV to validate diagnostic methods	National Institute of Fisheries Science	KOREA (REP. OF)
Isolation of Megalocytivirus pagrus1	2025	Isolation of Megalocytivirus pagrus1 to validate diagnostic methods	Washington State University	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

13. In exercising your activities, have you identified any regulatory research needs* relevant for WOAHA?

Yes

Research need : 1

Please type the Research need: Determining disinfection condition for RSIV

Relevance for WOAHA Disease Control,

Relevance for the Code or Manual Manual,

Field

Animal Category Aquatic,

Disease:

Infection with Megalocytivirus pagrus1

Kind of disease (Zoonosis, Transboundary diseases) Transboundary diseases,

If any, please specify relevance for Codes or Manual, chapter and title

(e.g. Terrestrial Manual Chapter 2.3.5 - Minimum requirements for aseptic production in vaccine manufacture)

Answer:

Notes:

Answer:

Research need : 2

Please type the Research need: Validation of diagnostic method of Megalocytivirus pagrus1 across genogroups

Relevance for WOA Standard Setting,

Relevance for the Code or Manual Manual,

Field Diagnostics,

Animal Category Aquatic,

Disease:

Infection with Megalocytivirus pagrus1

Kind of disease (Zoonosis, Transboundary diseases)

If any, please specify relevance for Codes or Manual, chapter and title

(e.g. Terrestrial Manual Chapter 2.3.5 - Minimum requirements for aseptic production in vaccine manufacture)

Answer:

Notes:

Answer:

TOR6: EPIZOOLOGICAL DATA

14. Did your Laboratory collect epidemiological data relevant to international disease control?

Yes

If the answer is yes, please provide details of the data collected:

1. Cross-contamination and fomite transmission of RSIV in fish farm
2. Inactivation of red sea bream iridovirus under physical conditions and disinfectant treatments

15. Did your laboratory disseminate epidemiological data that had been processed and analysed?

Yes

If the answer is yes, please provide details of the data collected:

Cross-contamination followed by fomite transmission plays a critical role in the spread of RSIV within fish farming systems.

16. What method of dissemination of information is most often used by your laboratory? (Indicate in the appropriate box the number by category and list the details in the box)

a) Articles published in peer-reviewed journals:

1

Kawato et al. (2025) Aquaculture Equipment as a Fomite for Transmission of Red Sea Bream Iridovirus: Insights From a Case Study for Assessing Cross-Contamination.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.14103>

b) International conferences:

c) National conferences:

7

Kawato et al. "Inactivation of Red Sea Bream Iridovirus under Physical Conditions and Disinfectant Treatments"
Annual Meeting of the Japanese Society for Fish Pathology, September 2025, Ehime.

d) Other (Provide website address or link to appropriate information):

TOR7: SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL TRAINING

17. Did your laboratory provide scientific and technical training to laboratory personnel from other WOA Members?

Yes

a) Technical visit : 1

b) Seminars : 1

c) Hands-on training courses: 1

d) Internships (>1 month) 0

Type of technical training provided (a, b, c or d)	Country of origin of the expert(s) provided with training	No. participants from the corresponding country
A	KOREA (REP. OF)	2
B	KOREA (REP. OF)	10
C	AUSTRALIA	1

TOR8: QUALITY ASSURANCE

18. Does your laboratory have a Quality Management System?

Yes

Quality management system adopted	Certificate scan (PDF, JPG, PNG format)	
ISO17025	ISO certificate of accreditation.pdf	ISO certificate of accreditation.pdf

19. Is your quality management system accredited?

Yes

Test for which your laboratory is accredited	Accreditation body
PCR test procedure	Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc.

20. Does your laboratory maintain a "biorisk management system" for the pathogen and the disease concerned?

Yes

Access to the laboratory is restricted. Personnel uses PPEs and follows basic laboratory procedures to avoid accidental exposure to the pathogen. All contaminated lab supplies (e.g., dissecting tools) are autoclaved to prevent the pathogen from releasing into the environment.

TOR9: SCIENTIFIC MEETINGS

21. Did your laboratory organise scientific meetings related to the pathogen in question on behalf of WOA?

No

22. Did your laboratory participate in scientific meetings related to the pathogen in question on behalf of WOA?

No

TOR10: NETWORK WITH WOA REFERENCE LABORATORIES

23. Did your laboratory exchange information with other WOA Reference Laboratories designated for the same pathogen or disease?

Not applicable (only WOA Reference Laboratory designated for the disease)

24. Are you a member of a network of WOA Reference Laboratories designated for the same pathogen?

Not applicable (only WOA Reference Laboratory designated for the disease)

25. Did you organise or participate in inter-laboratory proficiency tests with WOA Reference Laboratories designated for the same pathogen during the past 2 years?

Not applicable (Only WOA Reference Laboratory designated for the disease)

Not applicable

26. Did your laboratory collaborate with other WOA Reference Laboratories for the same disease on scientific research projects for the diagnosis or control of the pathogen of interest?

Not applicable (only WOA Reference Laboratory designated for the disease)

TOR11: OTHER INTERLABORATORY PROFICIENCY TESTING

27. Did your laboratory organise or participate in inter-laboratory proficiency tests with laboratories other than WOA Reference Laboratories for the same pathogen during the past 2 years?

Yes

Purpose for inter-laboratory test comparisons ¹	Role of your reference laboratory (organizer/participant)	No. participating laboratories	Name of the test	WOAH Member Countries
Determining a laboratory's capability to conduct specific diagnostic tests	PARTICIPANT	40	Inter-Laboratory Proficiency Test 2025 for identification and titration of VHSV, IHNV, EHNV (fish ranaviruses), SVCV and IPNV (PT1) and identification of CyHV-3 (KHV), SAV and ISAV (PT2)	

TOR12: EXPERT CONSULTANTS

28. Did your laboratory place expert consultants at the disposal of WOA?

Yes

Kind of consultancy	Location	Subject (facultative)
ad hoc Group meetings online	online meeting	WOAH Electronic ad hoc Group on Megalocytivirus pagrus ¹

29. Additional comments regarding your report:

No