

WOAH Reference Laboratory Reports Activities 2024

This report has been submitted: 14 janvier 2025 21:03

LABORATORY INFORMATION

*Name of disease (or topic) for which you are a designated WOA Reference Laboratory:	Mikrocytosis (Mikrocytos mackini)
*Address of laboratory:	Pacific Biological Station, 3190 Hammond Bay Rd, Nanaimo BC, V9T 6N7, Canada
*Tel:	+12506186166
*E-mail address:	cathryn.abbott@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Website:	https://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/aah-saa/naahls-sl/sas-eng.html#station
*Name (including Title) of Head of Laboratory (Responsible Official):	Mark Higgins, Section Head Aquatic Animal Health
*Name (including Title and Position) of WOA Reference Expert:	Dr. Cathryn Abbott, Research Scientist
*Which of the following defines your laboratory? Check all that apply:	Governmental

TOR1: DIAGNOSTIC METHODS

1. Did your laboratory perform diagnostic tests for the specified disease/topic for purposes such as disease diagnosis, screening of animals for export, surveillance, etc.? (Not for quality control, proficiency testing or staff training)

Yes

Diagnostic Test	Indicated in WOA Manual (Yes/No)	Total number of test performed last year	
Indirect diagnostic tests		Nationally	Internationally
n/a	Yes	0	0
Direct diagnostic tests		Nationally	Internationally
qPCR	No	748	0

TOR2: REFERENCE MATERIAL

2. Did your laboratory produce or supply imported standard reference reagents officially recognised by WOA?

No

3. Did your laboratory supply standard reference reagents (nonWOAH-approved) and/or other diagnostic reagents to WOA Members?

No

4. Did your laboratory produce vaccines?

No

5. Did your laboratory supply vaccines to WOA Members?

No

TOR3: NEW PROCEDURES

6. Did your laboratory develop new diagnostic methods for the designated pathogen or disease?

No

7. Did your laboratory validate diagnostic methods according to WOA Standards for the designated pathogen or disease?

No

8. Did your laboratory develop new vaccines for the designated pathogen or disease?

No

9. Did your laboratory validate vaccines according to WOA Standards for the designated pathogen or disease?

No

TOR4: DIAGNOSTIC TESTING FACILITIES

10. Did your laboratory carry out diagnostic testing for other WOA Members?

No

11. Did your laboratory provide expert advice in technical consultancies on the request of an WOA Member?

No

TOR5: COLLABORATIVE SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL STUDIES

12. Did your laboratory participate in international scientific studies in collaboration with WOA Members other than the own?

No

13. In exercising your activities, have you identified any regulatory research needs* relevant for WOA?

No

TOR6: EPIZOOLOGICAL DATA

14. Did your Laboratory collect epidemiological data relevant to international disease control?

No

15. Did your laboratory disseminate epidemiological data that had been processed and analysed?

No

Cathryn Abbot - - CANADA

16. What method of dissemination of information is most often used by your laboratory? (Indicate in the appropriate box the number by category and list the details in the box)

a) Articles published in peer-reviewed journals:

b) International conferences:

c) National conferences:

d) Other (Provide website address or link to appropriate information):

TOR7: SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL TRAINING

17. Did your laboratory provide scientific and technical training to laboratory personnel from other WOA H Members?

No

TOR8: QUALITY ASSURANCE

18. Does your laboratory have a Quality Management System?

Yes

Quality management system adopted	Certificate scan (PDF, JPG, PNG format)	
ISO 17025	PDF	ISO_Scope_asb_soa_151008_fy23_v1_2023-07-31.pdf

19. Is your quality management system accredited?

Yes

Test for which your laboratory is accredited	Accreditation body
HISTOLOGICAL DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF BIVALVE MOLLUSC PATHOGENS	Standards Council of Canada

20. Does your laboratory maintain a "biorisk management system" for the pathogen and the disease concerned?

Yes

Laboratory compliance to Level 2 in vitro facilities from the Office of Biohazard Containment and Safety within the Canadian Food Inspection Agency

TOR9: SCIENTIFIC MEETINGS

21. Did your laboratory organise scientific meetings related to the pathogen in question on behalf of WOAHP?

No

22. Did your laboratory participate in scientific meetings related to the pathogen in question on behalf of WOAHP?

No

TOR10: NETWORK WITH WOAHP REFERENCE LABORATORIES

23. Did your laboratory exchange information with other WOAHP Reference Laboratories designated for the same pathogen or disease?

Not applicable (only WOAHP Reference Laboratory designated for the disease)

24. Do you network (collaborate or share information) with other WOAHP Reference Laboratories designated for the same pathogen?

Not applicable (only WOAHP Reference Laboratory designated for the disease)

25. Did you organise or participate in inter-laboratory proficiency tests with WOAHP Reference Laboratories designated for the same pathogen during the past 2 years?

Not applicable (Only WOAHP Reference Laboratory designated for the disease)

Not applicable

26. Did your laboratory collaborate with other WOAHP Reference Laboratories for the same disease on scientific research projects for the diagnosis or control of the pathogen of interest?

Not applicable (only WOAHP Reference Laboratory designated for the disease)

TOR11: OTHER INTERLABORATORY PROFICIENCY TESTING

27. Did your laboratory organise or participate in inter-laboratory proficiency tests with laboratories other than WOAHP Reference Laboratories for the same pathogen during the past 2 years?

Yes

Purpose for inter-laboratory test comparisons ¹	Role of your reference laboratory (organizer/participant)	No. participating laboratories	Name of the test	WOAHP Member Countries
Annual proficiency testing for Canada's National Aquatic Animal Health Laboratory System	organizer	3	Routine proficiency panel for histological detection of <i>Mikrocytos mackini</i> , <i>Marteilioides chungmuensis</i> , <i>Bonamia</i> spp.	CANADA,

TOR12: EXPERT CONSULTANTS

Cathryn Abbot - - CANADA

28. Did your laboratory place expert consultants at the disposal of WOA?

No

29. Additional comments regarding your report:

Yes

Please correct the spelling of Abbott (not Abbot) - thank you